

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,519	10/16/2003	Van N. Truskett	P75-17-03	5222	
25108 7	590 10/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MOLECULAR IMPRINTS			CHEN, E	CHEN, BRET P	
PO BOX 81536 AUSTIN, TX 78708-1536			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
•		DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan		10/687,519	TRUSKETT ET AL	·· 				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		B. Chen	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
		– action is non-final.						
3)	<i>,</i> —							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-19 and 29-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-2, 4, 6-19, 29-34 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 💢 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-19, 29-34 are pending in this application, which is an RCE of Serial Number 10/687519. Amended claims 1-2, 7-8, 10-11, 14-17, 19 and newly added claims 29-34 are noted.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/14/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,519 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

In claim 29, the phrase "said surface energy is in a range of 25-40 milli-Newtons per meter" is deemed new matter. On p.9 lines 1-11 of the specification, the claimed surface energy is mentioned but only has support for specific materials and not for all materials. The same issue applies to claims 30-34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-19, 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willson et al. (6,334,960) in view of Westmoreland (6,446,933) and Morita (Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 42 (2003). Willson discloses a method of forming a patterned template having a surface and a release coating in which a transfer layer is formed on a substrate followed by coating with a polymerizable fluid composition (col.2 lines 49-67). Nanoscale patterns are formed by subjecting the transfer layer and the solidified polymeric material to an environment that allows for the selectively etching of the transfer layer relative to the solidified polymeric

Application/Control Number: 10/687,519 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

material such that a relief image is formed in the transfer layer (col.2 lines 7-27 and claim 7). However, the reference fails to teach a diamond-like composition.

Westmoreland discloses a method for modifying a mold surface used during semiconductor device fabrication in which a non-stick film is formed on the mold surface (col.2 lines 9-33). In one embodiment, a non-stick film of a hard material such as diamond or diamond-like carbon can be formed on the mold surface by CVD, plasma CVD, sputtering, etc. for the expressed purpose of providing protection against abrasion or wear on the planar surface of the mold (col.2 lines 34-49).

Morita discloses a focused ion beam chemical vapor deposition method of forming a three-dimensional diamond-like carbon mold for a nanoimprint process (abstract). Specifically, Morita discloses that the elastic modulus characteristics of diamond-like carbon "are very advantageous for making a 3D mold" (p.3874, line 19 of Section 1).

It would have been obvious to utilize the diamond like carbon film of Westmoreland in Willson's process because Morita suggests that diamond like carbon is very advantageous for making a 3D mold.

The limitations of claims 2, 4, 6-19, 29-34 have been addressed above.

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bc 9/27/06

BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5